# CRITICISMS OF ASE

# (AUTOMATED SPEED ENFORCEMENT)

# 1. REVENUE OVER SAFETY

- Many critics argue that speed cameras are primarily a cash grab for governments or private contractors rather than a genuine safety measure.
- Placement can sometimes seem designed to maximize fines (e.g., at the bottom of hills, or in areas with quickly changing speed limits), not to reduce accidents.

#### 2. EROSION OF PUBLIC TRUST

- When people feel cameras are unfairly placed or overly punitive, they lose trust in traffic enforcement and even in government.
- Drivers may see them as "traps" rather than safety tools.

# 3. NO DISCRETION OR CONTEXT

- Unlike a police officer, a camera can't judge circumstances — for example, speeding briefly to avoid a dangerous driver, or moving with the flow of traffic.
- They issue fines automatically, with no room for explanation.

#### 4. LIMITED EFFECT ON DRIVER BEHAVIOUR

- Some studies show cameras only slow drivers down at specific locations ("hot spots") but don't change long-term driving habits.
- Drivers may brake suddenly near cameras, then speed up again, which can cause new risks.

## 5. EQUITY CONCERNS

- Fines hit low-income drivers harder, creating disproportionate burdens.
- They may also exacerbate systemic inequalities if cameras are placed more heavily in certain neighbourhoods.

### **6. POTENTIAL FOR ERRORS**

- Cameras can misread plates, ticket the wrong vehicle, or fail to account for unusual situations.
- Contesting these errors can be difficult, since the burden often falls on the driver to prove innocence.

# 7. SURVEILLANCE CONCERNS

• Some people see them as another step toward constant government surveillance, eroding privacy and freedom of movement.

THE REGION MIGHT NOT PROVIDE YOU WITH ANY FACTS OR TRANSPARENCY, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN I WON'T.

FIGHTING THE REGION'S





THESE MACHINES ARE RENTED BY THE REGION FROM A U.S. LISTED COMPANY CALLED REDFLEX TRAFFIC SYSTEMS.

HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS OF YOUR TAX DOLLARS ARE GOING TO THE UNITED STATES AND AUSTRALIA

THE "ROAD SAFETY DATA"
THAT THE REGION AND OTHER INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED BY PROFESSIONAL LOBBYISTS AND PUBLIC RELATIONS PROFESSIONALS FROM THE U.S. TO PROMOTE THIS FORPROFIT ENTERPRISE.

THE COMPANY HAS FACED SIGNIFICANT SCRUTINY AND LEGAL CHALLENGES RELATED TO BRIBERY. IN THE EARLY 2010S, REDFLEX EXECUTIVES WERE IMPLICATED IN A BRIBERY INVESTIGATION IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, WHERE IMPROPER PAYMENTS WERE MADE TO A CITY OFFICIAL TO SECURE CONTRACTS FOR RED LIGHT CAMERA SYSTEMS.

